Case 2:20-cr-00004-KJM Document 61 Filed 11/02/22 Page 1 of 3

| | A | |
|-----|--|---|
| 1 2 | PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney JAMES R. CONOLLY | |
| 3 | Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700 | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | Facsimile: (916) 554-2900 | |
| 6 | Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 9 | EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 10 | LINUTED CTATES OF AMERICA | CASE NO. 2-20 CD 0004 TIN |
| 11 | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, | CASE NO. 2:20-CR-0004-TLN |
| 12 | Plaintiff, v. | STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT; ORDER |
| 13 | RUBEN ANGEL CASTRO, DATE: November 3, 2022 | |
| 14 | Defendant. | TIME: 9:30 a.m. |
| 15 | Defendant. | COURT: Hon. Troy L. Nunley |
| 16 | STIPULATION | |
| 17 | 1. By previous order, this matter wa | as set for status on November 3, 2022. |
| 18 | 2. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to continue the status conference until January | |
| 19 | 12, 2023, at 9:30 a.m., and to exclude time between November 3, 2022, and January 12, 2023, under the | |
| 20 | Court's General Orders and Local Code T4. | |
| 21 | 3. The parties agree and stipulate, an | nd request that the Court find the following: |
| 22 | a) The government has produced the discovery associated with this case which | |
| 23 | includes, among other things, a considerable number of investigative reports, video surveillance | |
| 24 | audio recordings, and laboratory reports. The government produced this discovery via a file | |
| 25 | sharing cloud platform, to which defense counsel has access. | |
| 26 | b) Counsel for defendant needs additional time to go through the discovery. Counse | |
| 27 | will also need time to consult with her client, to review the current charges, to conduct investigation | |
| 28 | and research related to the charges, to | review discovery for this matter, to discuss potential |
| | d I | |

Case 2:20-cr-00004-KJM Document 61 Filed 11/02/22 Page 2 of 3

resolutions with her client, to prepare pretrial motions, and to otherwise prepare for trial.

- c) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - d) The government does not object to the continuance.
- e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of November 3, 2022 to January 12, 2023, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to the Court's General Orders, and pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendants' request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: November 1, 2022 PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney

/s/ JAMES R. CONOLLY
JAMES R. CONOLLY
Assistant United States Attorney

Dated: November 1, 2022 /s/ LINDA C. ALLISON

LINDA C. ALLISON Assistant Federal Defender Counsel for Defendant RUBEN ANGEL CASTRO

Order on following page.

ORDER

IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED this 1st day of November, 2022.

Troy L. Nunley

United States District Judge